CALIFORNIA BOARD OF ACCOUNTANCY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS

In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Against:

Case No. SI-2012-14

OAH No. 2012031312

MICHAEL GREIF

6402 Via Baron Rancho Palos Verdes, CA 90275

Applicant for Certified Public Accountant License

Respondent.

DECISION AND ORDER

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted by the California Board of Accountancy, Department of Consumer Affairs, as its Decision in this matter.

This Decision shall become effective on June 28, 2012

It is so ORDERED

FOR THE CALIFORNIA BOARD OF

ACCOUNTANCY

DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS

1	Kamala D. Harris	
2	Attorney General of California	
	GLORIA A. BARRIOS Supervising Deputy Attorney General	
3	KATHERINE MESSANA Deputy Attorney General	
4	State Bar No. 272953 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702	
5	Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-2554	
6	Facsimile: (213) 897-2804	
7	Attorneys for Complainant	
8	BEFORE THE CALIFORNIA BOARD OF ACCOUNTANCY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS	
9		
10	STATE OF C	CALIFORNIA
11	In the Matter of the Statement of Issues	Case No. SI-2012-14
12	Against:	OAH No. 2012031312
13	MICHAEL GREIF	
14	6402 Via Baron Rancho Palos Verdes, CA 90275	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND DISCIPLINARY ORDER
15.	Applicant for Certified Public Accountant	
16	License	
17	Respondent.	
18		
19	In the interest of a prompt and speedy settlement of this matter, consistent with the public	
20	interest and the responsibility of the California Board of Accountancy of the Department of	
21	Consumer Affairs ("CBA"), the parties hereby agree to the following Stipulated Settlement and	
22	Disciplinary Order which will be submitted to the CBA for approval and adoption as the final	
23	disposition of the Statement of Issues.	
24	<u>PARTIES</u>	
25	1. Patti Bowers ("Complainant") is the Executive Officer of the California Board of	
26	Accountancy. She brought this action solely in her official capacity and is represented in this	
27	matter by Kamala D. Harris, Attorney General of the State of California, by Katherine Messana	
28	Deputy Attorney General.	
ì		

- 2. Respondent Michael Greif ("Respondent") is representing himself in this proceeding and has chosen not to exercise his right to be represented by counsel.
- 3. On or about April 27, 2011, Respondent filed an application dated June 14, 2011, with the California Board of Accountancy to obtain a Certified Public Accountant License.

JURISDICTION

- 4. Statement of Issues No. SI-2012-14 was filed before the CBA and is currently pending against Respondent. The Statement of Issues and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on March 21, 2012.
- 5. A copy of Statement of Issues No. SI-2012-14 is attached as **Exhibit A** and incorporated herein by reference.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 6. Respondent has carefully read, and understands the charges and allegations in Statement of Issues No. SI-2012-14. Respondent has also carefully read, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.
- 7. Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Statement of Issues; the right to be represented by counsel at his own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him; the right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- 8. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

CULPABILITY

9. Respondent admits the truth of each and every charge and allegation in Statement of Issues No. SI-2012-14.

12 13

14 15

16

17

1.8 19

20

21 22

> 23 24

25 26

27

28

Respondent agrees that his Application for Certified Public Accountant License is subject to denial and he agrees to be bound by the CBA's probationary terms as set forth in the Disciplinary Order below.

CONTINGENCY

- This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the CBA. Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the CBA may communicate directly with the CBA regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that he may not withdraw his agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the CBA considers and acts upon it. If the CBA fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the CBA shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.
- The parties understand and agree that facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement 12. and Disciplinary Order, including facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 13. This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is intended by the parties to be an integrated writing representing the complete, final, and exclusive embodiment of their agreement. It supersedes any and all prior or contemporaneous agreements, understandings, discussions, negotiations, and commitments (written or oral). This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order may not be altered, amended, modified, supplemented, or otherwise changed except by a writing executed by an authorized representative of each of the parties.
- In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that 14. the CBA may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

DISCIPLINARY ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Respondent Michael Greif that Applicant for Certified Public Accountant License will be issued and immediately revoked. The revocation will be

19.

23.24.

stayed and the Respondent placed on five (5) years probation on the following terms and conditions.

- 1. Obey All Laws. Respondent shall obey all federal, California, other states' and local laws, including those rules relating to the practice of public accountancy in California.
- 2. Submit Written Reports. Respondent shall submit, within ten (10) days of completion of the quarter, written reports to the CBA on a form obtained from the CBA. The Respondent shall submit, under penalty of perjury, such other written reports, declarations, and verification of actions as are required. These declarations shall contain statements relative to Respondent's compliance with all the terms and conditions of probation. Respondent shall immediately execute all release of information forms as may be required by the CBA or its representatives.
- 3. **Personal Appearances.** Respondent shall, during the period of probation, appear in person at interviews/meetings as directed by the CBA or its designated representatives, provided such notification is accomplished in a timely manner.
- 4. **Comply With Probation.** Respondent shall fully comply with the terms and conditions of the probation imposed by the CBA and shall cooperate fully with representatives of the Board of Accountancy in its monitoring and investigation of the Respondent's compliance with probation terms and conditions.
- 5. **Practice Investigation.** Respondent shall be subject to, and shall permit, practice investigation of the Respondent's professional practice. Such a practice investigation shall be conducted by representatives of the CBA, provided notification of such review is accomplished in a timely manner.
- 6. **Comply With Citations.** Respondent shall comply with all final orders resulting from citations issued by the Board of Accountancy.
- 7. Tolling of Probation For Out-of-State Residence/Practice. In the event Respondent should leave California to reside or practice outside this state, Respondent must notify the CBA in writing of the dates of departure and return. Periods of non-California residency or practice outside the state shall not apply to reduction of the probationary period, or

of any suspension. No obligation imposed herein, including requirements to file written reports, reimburse the CBA costs, or make restitution to consumers, shall be suspended or otherwise affected by such periods of out-of-state residency or practice except at the written direction of the CBA.

- 8. **Violation of Probation.** If Respondent violates probation in any respect, the CBA, after giving Respondent notice and an opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. If an accusation or a petition to revoke probation is filed against Respondent during probation, the CBA shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final, and the period of probation shall be extended until the matter is final.
- 9. **Completion of Probation.** Upon successful completion of probation, Respondent's license will be fully restored.
- 10. Rehabilitation Program/Chemical Dependence. Respondent shall successfully complete or shall have successfully completed a rehabilitation program for chemical dependence which the CBA or its designee approves and shall have reports submitted by the program. If a program was not successfully completed prior to the period of probation, the Respondent, within a reasonable period of time as determined by the CBA or its designee but not exceeding 90 days of the effective date of the decision, shall be enrolled in a program. In addition, Respondent must attend support groups, (e.g. Narcotics Anonymous, Alcoholic Anonymous etc.), as directed by the CBA or its designee. Respondent is responsible for all costs of such a program.
- 11. **Drugs Abstain From Use.** Respondent shall completely abstain from the personal use of all psychotropic drugs, including alcohol, in any form except when the same are lawfully prescribed.
- 12. **Biological Fluid Testing.** Respondent, at any time during the period of probation, shall fully cooperate with the CBA or its designee in its supervision and investigation of compliance with the terms and conditions of probation, and shall, when requested, submit to such tests and samples as the CBA or its designee may require for the detection of alcohol, narcotics, hypnotic, dangerous drugs, or controlled substances. Respondent is responsible for all costs associated with this investigation and testing.

ACCEPTANCE

I have carefully read the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my Applicant for Certified Public Accountant License. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the California Board of Accountancy.

DATED: MICHAEL GREIF
Respondent

ENDORSEMENT

The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the California Board of Accountancy of the Department of Consumer Affairs.

Dated:

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

.13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Respectfully submitted,

KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California GLORIA A. BARRIOS Supervising Deputy Attorney General

KATHERINE MESSANA Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Complainant

LA2011504552 51105360_doc

6

Exhibit A

Statement of Issues No. SI-2012-14

1			
1	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California		
2	GLORIA A. BARRIOS Supervising Deputy Attorney General		
3	KATHERINE MESSANA		
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 272953		
5	300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013	•	
6	Telephone: (213) 897-2544 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804		
7	Attorneys for Complainant	•	
8	BEFORE THE CALIFORNIA BOARD OF ACCOUNTANCY		
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10		1	
	In the Matter of the Statement of Issues	Case No. SI-2012-14	
11	Against:	,	
12	MICHAEL GREIF	STATEMENT OF ISSUES	
13	6402 Via Baron Rancho Palos Verdes, CA 90275		
14			
15	Applicant for Certified Public Accountant License		
16	Respondent.		
17	i cosponacii.		
18	Complainant alleges:		
19	<u>PARTIES</u>		
20	1. Patti Bowers ("Complainant") brings this Statement of Issues solely in her official		
21	capacity as the Executive Officer of the California Board of Accountancy ("CBA"), Departmen		
22	of Consumer Affairs.		
23	2. On or about April 27, 2011, the CBA	A received an Application for Certified Public	
24	Accountant ("CPA") License from Michael Greif ("Respondent"). On or about September 12,		
25	2009, Michael Greif certified under penalty of perjury to the truthfulness of all statements,		
26	answers, and representations in the application. The CBA denied the application on September		
27	15, 2011.		
28	///		

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26 2.7

28

JURISDICTION

This Statement of Issues is brought before the CBA, Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code ("Code"), unless otherwise indicated.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

- Section 475 of the Code states, in pertinent part:
- "(a) Notwithstanding any other provisions of this code, the provisions of this division shall govern the denial of licenses on the grounds of:
 - (2) Conviction of a crime."
- Section 5080 of the Code states:

"The 'certified public accountant' license shall be granted by the board to any person who meets the requirements of this article, has not committed acts or crimes constituting grounds for denial of a license under Section 480, and files an application for licensure on a form provided by the board."

- Section 480 of the Code states, in pertinent part:
- "(a) A board may deny a license regulated by this code on the grounds that the applicant has one of the following:
- (1) Been convicted of a crime. A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. Any action that a board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code.
- (3) (A) Done any act that if done by a licentiate of the business or profession in question, would be grounds for suspension or revocation of license."
- Section 490 of the Code provides, in pertinent part: - 7.
- "(a) In addition to any other action that a board is permitted to take against a licensee, a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime, if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.
- (b) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a board may exercise any authority to discipline a licensee for conviction of a crime that is independent of the authority granted under subdivision (a) only if the crime is substantially related to the

24

25

26

27

28

qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the licensee's license was issued.

- (c) A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. An action that a board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code.
- (d) The Legislature hereby finds and declares that the application of this section has been made unclear by the holding in Petropoulos v. Department of Real Estate (2006) 142 Cal. App.4th 554, and that the holding in that case has placed a significant number of statutes and regulations in question, resulting in potential harm to the consumers of California from licensees who have been convicted of crimes. Therefore, the Legislature finds and declares that this section establishes an independent basis for a board to impose discipline upon a licensee, and that the amendments to this section made by Chapter 33 of the Statutes of 2008 do not constitute a change to, but rather are declaratory of, existing law."

8. Section 5100 of the Code states, in pertinent part:

"After notice and hearing the board may revoke, suspend, or refuse to renew any permit or certificate granted under Article 4 (commencing with Section 5070) and Article 5 (commencing with Section 5080), or may censure the holder of that permit or certificate for unprofessional conduct that includes, but is not limited to, one or any combination of the following causes:

(a) Conviction of any crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions and duties of a certified public accountant or a public accountant."

9. Section 5106 of the Code states:

"A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this article. The record of the conviction shall be conclusive evidence thereof. The board may order the certificate or permit suspended or revoked, or may decline to issue a certificate or permit, when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made, suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing such person to withdraw his plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty or dismissing the accusation, information or indictment."

10. Section 493 of the Code provides:

"Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in a proceeding conducted by a board within the department pursuant to law to deny an application for a license or to suspend or revoke a license or otherwise take disciplinary action against a person who holds a license, upon the ground that the applicant or the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question, the record of conviction of the crime shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred, but only of that fact, and the board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime in order to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction is

substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question. As used in this section, 'license' includes 'certificate,' 'permit,' 'authority, and 'registration.'"

REGULATORY PROVISIONS

11. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 99, states, in pertinent part:

"For purposes of denial, suspension, or revocation of a certificate or permit pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered to be substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a certified public accountant or public accountant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a certified public accountant or public accountant to perform the functions authorized by his or her certificate or permit in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare..."

FIRST CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Conviction of Substantially Related Crimes)

- 12. Respondent's application is subject to denial under section 475, section 480, subdivision (a)(1) and section 5080 of the Code, in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 99 in that Respondent was convicted of crimes substantially related to the qualifications, functions and duties of a CPA, as follows:
- a. On or about July 20, 2006, after pleading nolo contendere, Respondent was convicted of one felony count of violating Vehicle Code section 23152(b) [driving a vehicle while having 0.08% and more, by weight, of alcohol in blood], in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Michael Jennings Greif* (Super. Ct. Los Angeles County, 2006, No. YA065046). The court sentenced Respondent to a total of 16 months in jail, and fined him. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are, as follows:
 - i. On or about March 14, 2006, two Palos Verdes Estates Police Officers conducted a routine traffic stop on Respondent for exceeding the posted speed limit. Officers observed Respondent straddling the right side of the roadway and weaving into the traffic lane. During the traffic stop, the officers observed him to have bloodshot and watery eyes, an odor of an alcoholic beverage. Upon exiting his car, Respondent was unsteady on his feet and used the truck for support and balance. He agreed to a field sobriety test which he failed to perform satisfactorily and registered a .181% and .168% on

. 9

20⁻

the Preliminary Alcohol Screening Test ("PAS"). The officers had to stop one of the PAS tests, due to Respondent almost falling over. He subsequently consented to two breath tests with results of .181% and .161% Blood Alcohol Content ("BAC").

- b. On or about June 27, 2006, after pleading nolo contendere, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Vehicle Code section 23152(b) [driving a vehicle while having 0.08% and more, by weight, of alcohol in blood]; one misdemeanor count of violating Vehicle Code section 20002(a) [hit and run: property damage]; and one misdemeanor count of violating Vehicle Code section 14601.5(a) [driving a vehicle with a suspended license], in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Michael Jennings Greif* (Super. Ct. Los Angeles County, 2006, No. 6MP03869). The court sentenced Respondent to 160 days in jail and placed him on probation for a period of 36 months, with terms and conditions. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are, as follows:
 - i. On or about April 17, 2006, two Rancho Palos Verdes Police Officers were dispatched to investigate a traffic collision where Respondent was identified as the driver of a vehicle who was involved in a traffic collision. Respondent failed to stop for a red light, failed to stop and identify himself and fled the scene of the accident. Prior to leaving the scene, Respondent told the other driver, "I'm drunk. I'll pay for your car." Furthermore, Respondent admitted to the responding officer, that he drank, "two Heineken Beers." The officers observed Respondent to have bloodshot and watery eyes, and an odor of an alcoholic beverage on his breath. The Respondent agreed to a field sobriety test which he failed to perform satisfactorily. Respondent subsequently consented to two breath tests with results of .11% and .12% BAC.
- c. On or about September 27, 2004, after pleading nolo contendere, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Penal Code section 647(f) [public intoxication], in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Michael Jennings Greif* (Super. Ct. Los Angeles County, 2004, No. 4SB07032). The court sentenced Respondent to

two (2) days in jail and placed him on probation for a period of 24 months, with terms and conditions. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are as follows:

- i. On or about August 26, 2004, Respondent was unlawfully in a public place under the influence of intoxicating liquor, a drug, a controlled substance, in such a condition that he was unable to exercise care for his own safety and the safety of others, and interfered with free use of a street, sidewalk, and other public way.
- d. On or about February 21, 2001, after pleading nolo contendere, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Vehicle Code section 23152(b) [driving a vehicle while having 0.08% and more, by weight, of alcohol in blood]; and one misdemeanor count of violating Vehicle Code section 14601.5(a) [driving with a suspended or revoked license], in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Michael Jennings Greif* (Super. Ct. Los Angeles County, 2000, No. OSE06636). The court sentenced Respondent to 17 days in Los Angeles City Jail and placed him on three (3) years probation, with terms and conditions. Respondent admitted to having .20%, or more, BAC. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are, as follows:
 - i. On or about December 8, 2000, a Huntington Park Police Department Officer was dispatched to investigate a traffic collision with unknown injuries. Upon arrival at the scene, Respondent was observed to exhibit signs and symptoms of being under the influence of an alcoholic beverage. Respondent admitted to the officer that "[he] was drinking Vodka at a friend's house" and that he had his last drink "about three hours ago." Additionally, Respondent admitted to the officer that he did feel intoxicated while driving his vehicle. Respondent agreed to a field sobriety test which he failed to perform satisfactorily. Respondent subsequently consented to two breath tests utilizing the Alco-Sensor IV Pre-Alcohol Screening Device, with results of .238% and .230%. At the Huntington Park Police Department, Respondent was given two breath tests with results of .24% and .24% BAC.

- e. On or about September 24, 1999, after pleading guilty, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Vehicle Code section 23152(a) [driving under the influence of alcohol and/or drugs]; and one misdemeanor count of violating Vehicle Code section 14601.1(a) [driving a vehicle on a highway with a suspended and/or revoked license], in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Michael Jennings Greif* (Super. Ct. Los Angeles County, 1999, No. 9CU01420). The court placed Respondent on probation for a period of three (3) years, with terms and conditions. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are as follows:
 - On or about August 3, 1999, two Culver City Police Department Officers conducted a routine traffic stop on Respondent for failing to have his lights on during the hours of darkness and for proceeding through an intersection against a red light. During the investigation of Respondent, he was observed to have a blank face and failed to respond to the officers when asked for driver's license, registration, and proof of insurance. The officers also observed Respondent to have blood shot, watery eyes, droopy eyelids, and an odor of an alcoholic beverage emitting from his breath and person.

 Respondent agreed to a field sobriety test which he failed to perform satisfactorily. Respondent was having trouble standing up and was subsequently arrested for violating Vehicle Code section 23152(a) [driving under the influence of alcohol and/or drugs].
- f. On or about September 24, 1996, after pleading guilty, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Revised Code of Washington section 46.61.502 [driving under the influence of alcohol and/or drugs], in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of Washington v. Michael Jennings Greif* (Super. Ct. Island County, 1996, No. C2903). The court sentenced the Respondent to 365 days in jail and fined him. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are as follows:
 - i. On or about February 17, 1996, Respondent drove a motor vehicle while under the influence of or affected by intoxicating liquor or, in the alternative

did have .10 grams or more alcohol per 210 liters of breath (.152, .176 BAC) within two hours of driving.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Acts, If Done by Licentiate, Would be Grounds for Suspension or Revocation)

Respondent's application is subject to denial under section 480, subdivision (a)(3)(A), section 490, subdivision (a) and section 5100, subdivision (a) of the Code in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 99 in that Respondent committed acts, which if done by a Licensed Certified Public Accountant, would be grounds for suspension or revocation of license. The conduct is described in more particularity in paragraph 12, subdivisions (a) through (f) above, inclusive, and herein incorporated by reference.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the California Board of Accountancy issue a decision:

1. Denying the application of Michael Greif for a Certified Public Accountant License; and

Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper. 2.

California Board of Accountancy

Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California Complainant

LA2011504552 60719089.doc